arXiv:1403.6292v1 [math.CA] 25 Mar 2014

On Hardy q-inequalities

Lech Maligranda, Ryskul Oinarov and Lars-Erik Persson

Abstract

Some q-analysis variants of Hardy type inequalities of the form

$$\int_0^b \left(x^{\alpha-1} \int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x \le C \int_0^b f^p(t)\, d_q t \tag{1}$$

with sharp constant C are proved and discussed. A similar result with the Riemann-Liouville operator involved is also proved. Finally, it is pointed out that by using these techniques we can also obtain some new discrete Hardy and Copson type inequalities in the classical case.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In recent years quantum calculus (q-calculus) has been actively developed. Many continuous scientific problems have their discrete versions by using the so-called q-calculus. This q-calculus has numerous applications in combinatorics, special functions, fractals, dynamical systems, number theory, computational methods, quantum mechanics, information technology, etc. (see [2], [8], [9], [10], [18]).

At present q-analogues of many inequalities from the classical analysis have been established but not q-inequalities of Hardy type (see, e.g., [15], [24] and [19], [26], [27]).

The Hardy inequality and its various generalizations play an important role in classical analysis. Therefore during the last fifty years a huge amount of papers has been devoted to Hardy and Hardy type inequalities in various spaces. The main results and their applications in classical analysis are given in the books [22] and [21].

The main aim of this paper is to establish q-analogue of the classical Hardy type inequalities

$$\int_0^\infty \left(x^{\alpha-1} \int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, dt \right)^p dx < \left(\frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1} \right)^p \int_0^\infty f^p(t)\, dt,\ f \ge 0, \tag{2}$$

where $\alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ with either $p \ge 1$ (unless $f \equiv 0$) or $p < 0$ and $f > 0$ and (with the Riemann-Liouville operator involved)

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t)\, dt \right)^p dx < \left[\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+1-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \right]^p \int_0^\infty f^p(t)\, dt,\ f \ge 0, \tag{3}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 26D10, 26D15, 39A13

Key words and phrases: Inequalities, Hardy type inequalities, Hardy operators, Riemann-Liouville operators, q-analysis, sharp constants, discrete Hardy type inequalities

where $p > 1, \alpha > 0$, unless $f \equiv 0$ and with the best constant. For $\alpha = 0$ inequality (2) becomes the classical Hardy inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f(t)\,dt\right)^p dx < \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_0^\infty f^p(t)\,dt,\ f \geq 0, f \not\equiv 0, \tag{4}$$

and its corresponding discrete version reads

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n a_k\right)^p < \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^p,\ p > 1,\ a_n \geq 0, a_n \not\equiv 0. \tag{5}$$

All these estimates have numerous applications in analysis. We will prove the q-estimate (1) of Hardy type (2) for $b = \infty$ and $b = 1$ with the sharp constants, and also the q-analogue of the estimate (3) with the sharp constant.

The paper is organized in the following way: after definitions and notations below, in Section 2 we prove the q-analogue of the inequality (2), that is, inequality (1) for $b = \infty$ and $b = 1$ with the sharp constants.

In Section 3 we define a fractional q-analogue of the Riemann-Liouville operator I_q^α and prove a q-analogue of inequality (3) with the sharp constant.

Finally, in Section 4 we are pointing out that using techniques of q-calculus we can also obtain some new discrete Hardy, Copson and matrix type inequalities in the classical case.

We now present some notations and definitions from the q-calculus, which are necessary for understanding this paper. They are taken mainly from the book [18].

Let $0 < q < 1$ be fixed. The *definite q-integral* or the *q-Jackson integral* (see [17] and [18]) of a function $f : [0, b) \to \mathbb{R}, 0 < b \leq \infty$, is defined by the following formula

$$\int_0^x f(t)\,d_q t = (1-q)\,x\sum_{k=0}^\infty q^k f(q^k x),\ \text{for } x \in (0, b], \tag{6}$$

and the *improper q-integral* of a function $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by the relation

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)\,d_q t = (1-q)\sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty q^k f(q^k), \tag{7}$$

provided that the series on the right hand sides of (6) and (7) converge absolutely.

For $0 < a < b \leq \infty$ we define the q-integral

$$\int_a^b f(t)\,d_q t = \int_0^b f(t)\,d_q t - \int_0^a f(t)\,d_q t.$$

In particular, for $x \in (0, \infty)$, it yields that

$$\int_x^\infty f(t)\,d_q t = \int_0^\infty f(t)\,d_q t - \int_0^x f(t)\,d_q t. \tag{8}$$

In the theory of q-analysis the q-analogue $[\alpha]_q$ of a number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$[\alpha]_q = \frac{1-q^\alpha}{1-q}. \tag{9}$$

2 The Hardy inequality in q–analysis

We consider the q-integral analogue of the Hardy inequality of the form (2). Our first main result in this section reads:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\alpha < \frac{p-1}{p}$. If either $1 \le p < \infty$ and $f \ge 0$ or $p < 0$ and $f > 0$, then the inequality*

$$\int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x \le C \int_0^\infty f^p(t)\, d_q t, \tag{10}$$

holds with the constant

$$C = \frac{1}{[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha]_q^p}\,. \tag{11}$$

In the case when $0 < p < 1$ the inequality (10) for $f \ge 0$ holds in the reverse direction with the constant (11). Moreover, in all three cases the constant (11) is best possible.

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Consider the estimate (10) based on the definitions (6) and (7). We have

$$\begin{aligned} L(f) : &= \int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x \\ &= \int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left((1-q) \sum_{i=0}^\infty x^{1-\alpha} q^{(1-\alpha)i} f(xq^i) \right)^p d_q x \\ &= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty q^{jp(\alpha-1)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^\infty q^{(i+j)(1-\alpha)} f(q^{i+j}) \right)^p q^j \\ &= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left(\sum_{i=j}^\infty q^{i(1-\alpha)} f(q^i) \right)^p \equiv (1-q)^{p+1} I^p. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g = \{g_k\}_{k=-\infty}^\infty \in l_{p'}(\mathbb{Z})$, $g \ge 0, \|g\|_{l_{p'}} = 1$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, Moreover, let $\theta(z)$ be Heaviside's unit step function, that is, $\theta(z) = 1$ for $z \ge 0$ and $\theta(z) = 0$ for $z < 0$. Then, based on the duality principle in $l_p(\mathbb{Z})$, $p > 1$, and the Hölder-Rogers inequality (cf. [23]

for the explanation why not only Hölder name should be here), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1} \sum_i \sum_j g_j q^{j\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)} \theta(i-j) q^{i\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)} q^{\frac{i}{p}} f(q^i) \\
&\leq \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1} \left(\sum_i \sum_j g_j^{p'} q^{j\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)} \theta(i-j) q^{i\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\times \left(\sum_i \sum_j f^p(q^i) q^i q^{\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)i} \theta(i-j) q^{j\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1} \left(\sum_j g_j^{p'} q^{j\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)} \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\times \left(\sum_i f^p(q^i) q^i q^{i\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{i} q^{j\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1} I_1(g)\, I_2(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1^{p'}(g) &= \sum_j g_j^{p'} q^{j\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)} q^{j\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)} = \frac{1}{1-q^{\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha}} \sum_j g_j^{p'} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^{\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha}} \|g\|_{l_{p'}}^{p'} = \frac{1}{(1-q)\left[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\right]_q} \|g\|_{l_{p'}}^{p'},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^p(f) &= \sum_i f^p(q^i) q^i q^{i\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)} q^{i\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)} = \frac{1}{1-q^{\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha}} \sum_i q^i f^p(q^i) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-q)^2\left[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\right]_q} \int_0^{\infty} f^p(t)\, d_q t
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$I^p \leq \frac{1}{(1-q)^{p+1}\left[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\right]_q^p} \int_0^{\infty} f^p(t)\, d_q t.$$

Putting the above calculations together we deduce that

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x = (1-q)^{p+1} I^p \leq \frac{1}{\left[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\right]_q^p} \int_0^{\infty} f^p(t)\, d_q t,$$

which means that inequality (10) holds with constant (11).

Now, we will show that the constant (11) is best possible. For $\beta > -\frac{1}{p}$ let $f_\beta(t) = t^\beta \chi_{(0,1]}(t), t > 0$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty f_\beta^p(t)\, d_q t = (1-q)\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^i f_\beta^p(q^i) = (1-q)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i q^{p\beta i} = \frac{1-q}{1-q^{1+p\beta}} = \frac{1}{[1+p\beta]_q},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(f_\beta) &= \int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f_\beta(t)\, d_q t\right)^p d_q x \\ &= (1-q)\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left((1-q)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{(i+j)(1-\alpha)} f_\beta(q^{i+j})\right)^p \\ &\geq (1-q)^{p+1}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha)} q^{i\beta}\right)^p \\ &= (1-q)^{p+1}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1+p\beta)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha+\beta)}\right)^p = \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}}\right)^p \cdot \frac{1}{[1+p\beta]_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sup_{\beta > -\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}} = \frac{1}{1-q^{1-\frac{1}{p}-\alpha}} = \frac{1}{1-q^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha}},$$

it follows that for the best constant C in (10) the following estimate is valid

$$C \geq \sup_{\beta > -\frac{1}{p}} \frac{L(f_\beta)}{\int_0^\infty f_\beta^p(t)\, d_q t} \geq \sup_{\beta > -\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}}\right)^p = \frac{1}{\left[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\right]_q^p},$$

which shows that the constant (11) is sharp constant in (10).

If $p = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} L(f) &= (1-q)^2 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j\alpha} \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha)} f(q^i) = (1-q)^2 \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha)} f(q^i) \sum_{j=-\infty}^{i} q^{j\alpha} \\ &= (1-q)^2 \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^i f(q^i) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{-j\alpha} = \frac{1}{[-\alpha]_q} \int_0^\infty f(t) d_q t. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p < 0$ and $f > 0$. If we denote $\mu = \frac{1}{p'}\left(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha\right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} L(f) &= \int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t\right)^p d_q x \\ &= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha)} f(q^i)\right)^p \\ &= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i\mu} q^{i(1-\alpha-\mu)} f(q^i)\right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the assumption $p<0$ and the fact that then the Hölder-Rogers inequality holds in the reverse direction in this case we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L(f) &\le (1-q)^{p+1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]}\left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{ip'\mu}\right)^{p-1}\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{ip(1-\alpha-\mu)}f^p(q^i)\\
&= (1-q)^{p+1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{ip'\mu}\right)^{p-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1+p\mu]}\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{ip(1-\alpha-\mu)}f^p(q^i)\\
&= \frac{(1-q)^{p+1}}{\left(1-q^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha}\right)^{p-1}}\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} f^p(q^i)q^{i\left(1+\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{i} q^{j\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)}\\
&= \frac{(1-q)^{p+1}}{\left(1-q^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha}\right)^{p-1}}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)}\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^i f^p(q^i)\\
&= \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha}}\right)^p\int_0^{\infty} f^p(t)\,d_qt = \left[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\right]_q^{-p}\int_0^{\infty} f^p(t)\,d_qt.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the inequality (10) holds with the constant C in (11). Now, we will give lower estimate for the best constant C in inequality (10). For $\alpha-1<\beta_1<-\frac{1}{p}<\beta_2$ let $f_{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t)=t^{\beta_1}\chi_{(0,1]}(t)+t^{\beta_2}\chi_{(1,\infty)}(t), t>0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\infty} f^p_{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t)\,d_qt &= (1-q)\left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} q^{i(1+p\beta_2)}+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i(1+p\beta_1)}\right)\\
&= (1-q)\left(\frac{q^{|1+p\beta_2|}}{1-q^{|1+p\beta_2|}}+\frac{1}{1-q^{1+p\beta_1}}\right) := F^-(\beta_1,\beta_2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
L(f_{\beta_1,\beta_2}) &= \int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f_{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x \\
&= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{i(1+p(\alpha-1))} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha)} f_{\beta_1,\beta_2}(q^j) \right)^p \\
&= (1-q)^{p+1} \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} q^{i(1+p(\alpha-1))} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{-1} q^{j(1-\alpha+\beta_2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha+\beta_1)} \right)^p \right. \\
&+ \left. \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i(1+p(\alpha-1))} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha+\beta_1)} \right)^p \right] \\
&> (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i(1+p(\alpha-1))} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha+\beta_1)} \right)^p \\
&= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i(1+p\beta_1)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha+\beta_1)} \right)^p \\
&= \frac{1-q}{1-q^{1+p\beta_1}} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta_1}} \right)^p := F^+(\beta_1,\beta_2).
\end{aligned}$$

If C is the best constant in (11), then

$$\begin{aligned}
C &\geq \sup_{\alpha-1<\beta_1<-\frac{1}{p}} \lim_{\beta_2\to\infty} \frac{F^+(\beta_1,\beta_2)}{F^-(\beta_1,\beta_2)} = \sup_{\alpha-1<\beta_1<-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta_1}} \right)^p \\
&= \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\alpha-1/p}} \right)^p = \frac{1}{\left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha \right]_q^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last estimate together with the earlier shows that constant (11) is sharp in all cases.

Finally, we consider the case when $0 < p < 1$. Let us denote $\gamma = \frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha$. For any

function $f \geq 0$ for which the right hand side of (10) is finite, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma]_q^{-1} \int_0^\infty f^p(t)\, d_q t &= \frac{(1-q)^2}{1-q^\gamma} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^j f^p(q^j) \\
&= (1-q)^2 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^j f^p(q^j) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i\gamma} \\
&= (1-q)^2 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^j f^p(q^j) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} q^{-i\gamma} \\
&= (1-q)^2 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j(1+\gamma)} f^p(q^j) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{j} q^{-i\gamma} \\
&= (1-q)^2 \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{-i\gamma} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-p)\gamma} q^{jp(1-\alpha)} f^p(q^j) = J.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder-Rogers inequality with powers $\frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{1}{1-p}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J &\leq (1-q)^2 \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{-i\gamma} \left(\sum_{k=i}^{\infty} q^{k\gamma} \right)^{1-p} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha)} f(q^j) \right)^p \\
&= [\gamma]_q^{p-1} (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{-ip\gamma} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha)} f(q^j) \right)^p \\
&= [\gamma]_q^{p-1} (1-q) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^i q^{ip(\alpha-1)} \left((1-q) q^i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j q^{-(i+j)\alpha} f(q^{i+j}) \right)^p \\
&= [\gamma]_q^{p-1} \int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x,
\end{aligned}$$

which means that the following inequality holds

$$\int_0^\infty f^p(t)\, d_q t \leq \left[\gamma\right]_q^p \int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha-1)} \Big(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t \Big)^p d_q x \tag{12}$$

for all functions $f \geq 0$ for which the left hand side of (12) is finite.

Next, we show that the constant $\left[\gamma\right]_q^p = \left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha\right]_q^p$ in (12) is sharp. For $\alpha - 1 < \beta < -\frac{1}{p}$ let $f_\beta(t) = t^\beta \chi_{[1,\infty)}(t), t > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty f_\beta^p(t)\, d_q t &= (1-q) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} q^i f_\beta^p(q^i) = (1-q) \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} q^i f_\beta^p(q^i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^i f_\beta^p(q^i) \right] \\
&= (1-q) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} q^{i(1+p\beta)} = (1-q) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i|1+p\beta|} = \frac{1-q}{1-q^{|1+p\beta|}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
L(f_\beta) &= \int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f_\beta(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x \\
&= (1-q) \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left((1-q) q^j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i q^{-(i+j)\alpha} f_\beta(q^{i+j}) \right)^p \\
&= (1-q)^{p+1} \left[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha)} f_\beta(q^i) \right)^p \right. \\
&+ \left. \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha)} f_\beta(q^i) \right)^p \right] \\
&= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{0} q^{i(1-\alpha+\beta)} \right)^p \\
&= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} q^{jp(1-\alpha+\beta)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{-j} q^{i(1-\alpha+\beta)} \right)^p \\
&\le \frac{(1-q)^{p+1}}{(1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta})^p} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} q^{j[1+p\beta]} = \frac{1-q}{1-q^{|1+p\beta|}} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}} \right)^p .
\end{aligned}$$

If inequality (12) holds with the best constant $C > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
C &\ge \sup_{\beta \in (\alpha-1, -\frac{1}{p})} \frac{\int_0^\infty f_\beta^p(t)\, d_q t}{L(f_\beta)} \ge \sup_{\beta \in (\alpha-1, -\frac{1}{p})} \left(\frac{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}}{1-q} \right)^p \\
&= \left(\frac{1-q^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha}}{1-q} \right)^p = \left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha \right]_q^p = [\gamma]_q^p,
\end{aligned}$$

and this shows that the constant $[\gamma]_q^p$ in (12) is sharp. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. □

Remark 2.2. *Constant in q-analogue of inequality (2) is smaller than the one in (2). In fact, if $\alpha < 1 - 1/p$ with $p \ge 1$ or $p < 0$, then*

$$\frac{1}{\left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha \right]_q} < \frac{p}{p - \alpha p - 1} \text{ for } \alpha > -1/p. \tag{13}$$

Inequality (13) is reversed for $\alpha < -1/p$. For $\alpha = -1/p$ both sides in (13) are equal to 1.

Estimate (13) means that $\frac{1-q}{1-q^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha}} < \frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1}$ for any $0 < q < 1$, which is true since the function $h(q) := \frac{p(1-q^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha})}{p-\alpha p-1} + q - 1$ has derivative $h'(q) = -q^{-\frac{1}{p}-\alpha} + 1 < 0$ for $\alpha > -1/p$, and so $h(q) > h(1) = 0$.

Next, we consider the Hardy inequality on a finite interval. Without loss of generality we consider only the interval $[0, 1]$, since in the q-integral we are allowed to change variables

in the form $z = xl$, $0 < l < \infty$ (see [18]). Therefore, a q-integral on the interval $[0, l]$ naturally can be reduced to a q-integral on the interval $[0, 1]$.

Hence, we consider the inequality (1) with $b = 1$ and formulate our next main theorem in this Section.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$. If either $1 \le p < \infty$ and $f \ge 0$ or $p < 0$ and $f > 0$, then the strict inequality*

$$\int_0^1 x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x < \frac{1}{[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha]_q^p} \int_0^1 f^p(t)\, d_q t, \tag{14}$$

holds (unless $f \equiv 0$) and the constant $[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha]_q^{-p}$ is sharp.

Proof. Theorem 2.3 can be proved in a similar way as Theorem 2.1. Hence, we will only point out some differences of the corresponding relations. In the case when $p > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t) d_q t \right)^p d_q x &= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j[p(\alpha-1)+1]} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha)} f(q^i) \right)^p \\ &= (1-q)^{p+1}\, I^p, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I &< \sup_{||g||_{p'}=1, g \ge 0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_i^{p'} q^{i(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})} \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f^p(q^i) q^i q^{i(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{i} q^{i(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \sup_{||g||_{p'}=1, g \ge 0} I_1(g) I_2(f), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. If $p = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} \int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t) d_q t d_q x &= (1-q)^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j\alpha} \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha)} f(q^i) \\ &= (1-q)^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i(1-\alpha)} f(q^i) \sum_{j=0}^{i} q^{j\alpha} \\ &= (1-q)^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i f(q^i) \sum_{j=0}^{i} q^{-j\alpha} < \frac{1}{[-\alpha]_q} \int_0^1 f(t)\, d_q t. \end{aligned}$$

The last strict inequalities give the validity of strict inequality (14). The best constant in (14) can be found by using the test functions $f_\beta(t) = t^\beta$ if $0 < t < 1$, where $\beta > -\frac{1}{p}$. In the case when $p < 0$ the proof of estimate (14) can be done by use of the same method as in Theorem 2.1 for F. In fact, we have

$$L(f) < \frac{(1-q)^{p+1}}{\left(1 - q^{\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha}\right)^{p-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f^p(q^i) q^{i\left(1 + \frac{1}{p'} - \alpha\right)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{i} q^{j\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)} = \frac{1}{[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha]_q^p} \int_0^1 f^p(t)\, d_q t.$$

This implies strict inequality in (14). In order to obtain lower estimate we consider the test functions $f_\beta(t) = t^\beta \chi_{(0,1]}(t)$ for $\alpha - 1 < \beta < -\frac{1}{p}$. Then

$$\int_0^1 f_\beta^p(t)\, d_q t = \frac{1-q}{1-q^{1+p\beta}} := F^-(\beta)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(f_\beta) &= \int_0^1 x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f_\beta(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x \\ &= (1-q)^{p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i(1+p(\alpha-1))} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha+\beta)} \right)^p \\ &> (1-q)^{p+1} \frac{1}{1-q^{1+p\beta}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}} \right)^p \\ &= \frac{1-q}{1-q^{1+p\beta}} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}} \right)^p := F^+(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $C > 0$ is the best constant in inequality (14), then we obtain estimate

$$C \geq \sup_{\alpha-1<\beta_2<-\frac{1}{p}} \lim_{\beta_1 \to \infty} \frac{F^+(\beta)}{F^-(\beta)} = \frac{1}{\left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha \right]_q^p},$$

and the proof of Theorem 2.3 is complete. □

Next, we present some corresponding sharp reverse inequalities with additional terms for the case $0 < p < 1$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $0 < p < 1$ and $\alpha < \frac{p-1}{p}$. Then the following strict inequalities hold:*

$$\int_0^1 f^p(t)(1 - t^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha})\, d_q t < C \int_0^1 x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x, \tag{15}$$

$$\int_0^1 f^p(t)\, d_q t < C \int_0^1 \left(1 + \frac{\chi_{(q,1]}(x)}{[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha]_q} \right) x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\, d_q t \right)^p d_q x \tag{16}$$

for all functions $f \geq 0$ with the finite left hand side of (16) unless $f \equiv 0$ and with the best constant

$$C = \left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha \right]_q^p. \tag{17}$$

Proof. Let $f \geq 0$ and $\int_0^1 f^p(t)\, d_q t < \infty$. Denoting $\gamma = \frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha$ and $c_q = (1-q)(1-q^\gamma)$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 f^p(t)\,d_qt &= (1-q)\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j f^p(q^j) = c_q \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j f^p(q^j) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} q^{-i\gamma} \\
&= c_q \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1+\gamma)} f^p(q^j) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{j} q^{-i\gamma} \\
&= c_q \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1+\gamma)} f^p(q^j) \sum_{i=0}^{j} q^{-i\gamma} + c_q \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} q^{-i\gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1+\gamma)} f^p(q^j) \\
&= c_q \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1+\gamma)} f^p(q^j) \sum_{i=0}^{j} q^{-i\gamma} + (1-q)q^{\gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1+\gamma)} f^p(q^j) \\
&< c_q \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-i\gamma} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1+\gamma)} f^p(q^j) + (1-q)\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1+\gamma)} f^p(q^j) := I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the Hölder-Rogers inequality with powers $\frac{1}{1-p}$ and $\frac{1}{p}$ we can estimate I_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= c_q \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-i\gamma} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-p)\gamma} q^{jp(1-\alpha)} f^p(q^j) \\
&< c_q \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-i\gamma} \left(\sum_{k=i}^{\infty} q^{k\gamma} \right)^{1-p} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha)} f(q^j) \right)^p \\
&= c_q \left(\frac{1}{1-q^{\gamma}} \right)^{1-p} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i(1+p(\alpha-1))} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha)} f(q^j) \right)^p \\
&= \left(\left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha \right]_q \right)^p \int_0^1 x^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha} f(t)\,d_qt \right)^p d_qx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $I_2 = (1-q)\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1+\gamma)} f^p(q^j) = \int_0^1 t^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha} f^p(t)\,d_qt$ it follows from the above calculations that estimate (15) holds with the constant $C \le \left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha \right]_q^p$.

Now, we will show also validity of the inequality (16). For this purpose we estimate I_2, using the Hölder-Rogers inequality with powers $\frac{1}{1-p}$ and $\frac{1}{p}$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= (1-q)\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1-p)\gamma} q^{jp(1-\alpha)} f^p(q^j) < (1-q) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k\gamma} \right)^{1-p} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha)} f(q^j) \right)^p \\
&= (1-q)(1-q^{\gamma})^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1-\alpha)} f(q^j) \right)^p = \left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha \right]_q^{p-1} \left(\int_0^1 t^{-\alpha} f(t)\,d_qt \right)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, again from the above calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 f^p(t)\,d_qt &< \big[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\big]_q^p\Big[\int_0^1 x^{p(\alpha-1)}\Big(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha}f(t)\,d_qt\Big)^p d_qx\\ &+ \frac{1}{[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha]_q}\Big(\int_0^1 x^{-\alpha}f(x)d_qx\Big)^p\Big].\end{aligned}$$

This means that (16) holds with the constant (17). Next, we show that the constant $[\gamma]_q^p=[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha]_q^p$ in both of the inequalities (15) and (16) is best possible. To see this we consider the function $f_\beta(t)=t^\beta$ for $0<t\le 1$, where $\beta>-\frac{1}{p}$. Then

$$\int_0^1 t^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha}f_\beta^p(t)\,d_qt=\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1+p\beta+\gamma}},\quad \int_0^1 f_\beta^p(t)\,d_qt=\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1+p\beta}},$$

$$\int_0^1 x^{p(\alpha-1)}\Big(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha}f_\beta(t)\,d_qt\Big)^p d_qx=\Big(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}}\Big)^p\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1+p\beta}},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha]_q}\Big(\int_0^1 t^{-\alpha}f_\beta(t)\,d_qt\Big)^p=\frac{1}{[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha]_q}\Big(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}}\Big)^p.$$

If $C>0$ is a sharp constant in inequality (15), then

$$C\ge\Big(\frac{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}}{1-q}\Big)^p\frac{1-q-(1-q^{1+p\beta})\frac{1-q}{1-q^{1+p\beta+\gamma}}}{1-q},$$

and by letting $\beta\to-\frac{1}{p}$, we find that $C\ge\big[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\big]_q^p$. Moreover, if $C>0$ is a sharp constant in inequality (16), then

$$C\ge\left(\frac{1-q^{1-\alpha+\beta}}{1-q}\right)^p\frac{1-q}{1-q+\frac{1-q^{1+p\beta}}{[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha]_q}}.$$

Again, by letting $\beta\to-\frac{1}{p}$, we obtain $C\ge\big[\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\big]_q^p$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 2.5. *From Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha=0$ we obtain the q-analogue of the classical Hardy inequality*

$$\int_0^\infty\Big(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f(t)\,d_qt\Big)^p d_qx\le\frac{1}{[\frac{p-1}{p}]_q^p}\int_0^\infty f^p(t)\,d_qt,\ f\ge 0,$$

if $p>1$ or $p<0$ and $f>0$. Moreover, the constant $\frac{1}{[\frac{p-1}{p}]_q^p}$ is best possible and $\frac{1}{[\frac{p-1}{p}]_q^p}<(\frac{p}{p-1})^p$.

Remark 2.6. *If $f \geq 0$ is a continuous function on $[0,1]$, then by passing to the limit as $q \to 1^-$ in (15) and (16) we get*

$$\int_0^1 f^p(t)(1-t^{\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha})\,dt \leq \big(\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\big)^p \int_0^1 x^{p(\alpha-1)}\big(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha}f(t)\,dt\big)^p dx, \tag{18}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 f^p(t)\,dt \leq \big(\frac{p-1}{p}-\alpha\big)^p \int_0^1 x^{p(\alpha-1)}\big(\int_0^x t^{-\alpha}f(t)\,dt\big)^p d\mu(x)$$

where $d\mu(x) = \Big(1+\frac{p}{p-p\alpha-1}\,\delta(1-x)\Big)\,dx$ and $\delta(\cdot)$ is a Dirac delta function.

The inequality (18) is one of the cases recently proved in [25, Theorem 2.4 (b)].

3 A new sharp inequality for the Riemann-Liouville operator in q-analysis

We need definitions and formulas from the q-calculus to be able to define a q-analogue of fractional integration Riemann-Liouville operator of order $\alpha > 0$. These facts are taken mainly from the book [18] (see also [1] and [26]).

If $x \geq t > 0$, then the q-analogue of the polynomial $(x-t)^k$ of order $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the generalized polynomial $(x-t)^\alpha$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ are defined by the following relations

$$(x-t)_q^k = x^k\,(\frac{t}{x};q)_k \;\text{ and }\; (x-t)_q^\alpha = x^\alpha(\frac{t}{x};q)_\alpha, \tag{19}$$

respectively, where a q-analogue of the Pochhammer symbol (q-shifted factorial) is defined by

$$(a;q)_0 = 1,\;\; (a;q)_k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(1-aq^i) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\} \text{ and } (a;q)_\alpha = \frac{(a;q)_\infty}{(aq^\alpha;q)_\infty}.$$

In q-analysis the gamma function Γ_q has the form

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q;q)_\infty}{(q^x;q)_\infty}(1-q)^{1-x},\;\text{ for}\, x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0,-1,-2,\ldots\},$$

and the beta function $B_q(\cdot,\cdot)$ is defined in the following way:

$$B_q(a,b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1}(qt;q)_{b-1}\,d_qt = (1-q)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{ia}(q^{i+1};q)_{b-1}.$$

Moreover, the following relations are valid:

$$\Gamma_q(x+1) = [x]_q\,\Gamma_q(x) \;\text{ and }\; B_q(a,b) = \frac{\Gamma_q(a)\Gamma_q(b)}{\Gamma_q(a+b)}.$$

Finally, the q-analogue of the fractional integration Riemann-Liouville operator of order $\alpha > 0$ has the form

$$I_q^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x - qt)_q^{\alpha-1} f(t)\, d_q t. \tag{20}$$

Our main result in this section is the following q-analogue of the inequality (3).

Theorem 3.1. *If $p > 1$ and $\alpha > 0$, then the inequality*

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{x^\alpha \Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x - qt)_q^{\alpha-1} f(t)\, d_q t \right]^p d_q x \le C \int_0^\infty f^p(t)\, d_q t, \ \ f \ge 0, \tag{21}$$

holds with the best constant

$$C = \left[\frac{\Gamma_q(1 - \frac{1}{p})}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + 1 - \frac{1}{p})} \right]^p. \tag{22}$$

Proof. Let $f \ge 0$. Based on (6), (19) and (20) we have

$$I_q^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x - qt)_q^{\alpha-1} f(t)\, d_q t = \frac{x^\alpha}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (1 - q) \sum_{i=0}^\infty \left(q^{i+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} f(xq^i)\, q^i. \tag{23}$$

Then, in view of (7) and (23), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{I_q^\alpha f(x)}{x^\alpha} \right)^p d_q x &= (1 - q) \left(\frac{1 - q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right)^p \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \left(\sum_{i=0}^\infty (q^{i+1}; q)_{\alpha-1} f(q^{i+j}) q^i \right)^p q^j \\
&= (1 - q) \left(\frac{1 - q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right)^p \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty q^{j(1-p)} \left(\sum_{i=j}^\infty (q^{i-j+1}; q)_{\alpha-1} f(q^i) q^i \right)^p \\
&= (1 - q) \left(\frac{1 - q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right)^p (J_\alpha)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying the duality principle in $l_p(\mathbb{Z})$ and by using the Hölder-Rogers inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\alpha &= \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}} = 1,\ g \ge 0} \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty g_j q^{-\frac{j}{p'}} \sum_{i=j}^\infty \left(q^{i-j+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} f(q^i)\, q^i \\
&= \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}} = 1,\ g \ge 0} \sum_j \sum_i g_j q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \theta(i - j) \left(q^{i-j+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} f(q^i)\, q^{\frac{i}{p}} \\
&\le \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}} = 1,\ g \ge 0} \left(\sum_j \sum_i g_j^{p'} q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \theta(i - j) \left(q^{i-j+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\times \left(\sum_i \sum_j f^p(q^i) q^i q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \theta(i - j) \left(q^{i-j+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}} = 1,\ g \ge 0} J_{\alpha,p'}(g)\, J_{\alpha,p}(f),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_{\alpha,p'}(g)^{p'} = \sum_j \sum_i g_j^{p'} q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \theta(i-j) \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1}$$

and

$$J_{\alpha,p}(f)^p = \sum_i \sum_j f^p(q^i) q^i q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \theta(i-j) \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1}.$$

By formulas on beta and gamma functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1,\ g\geq 0} J_{\alpha,p'}(g)^{p'} &= \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1,\ g\geq 0} \sum_j \sum_i g_j^{p'} q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \theta(i-j) \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} \\
&= \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1,\ g\geq 0} \sum_j g_j^{p'} \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} \\
&= \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1,\ g\geq 0} \sum_j g_j^{p'} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{i}{p'}} \left(q^{i+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} \\
&= \frac{B_q(\frac{1}{p'};\alpha)}{1-q} = \frac{\Gamma_q(1-\frac{1}{p})\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-\frac{1}{p})} \frac{1}{1-q},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\alpha,p}(f)^p &= \sum_i \sum_j f^p(q^i) q^i q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \theta(i-j) \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} \\
&= \sum_i f^p(q^i) q^i \sum_{j=-\infty}^{i} q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} \\
&= \sum_i f^p(q^i) q^i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{j}{p'}} \left(q^{j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \frac{\Gamma_q(1-\frac{1}{p})\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-\frac{1}{p})} \int_0^{\infty} f^p(t)\, d_q t.
\end{aligned}$$

By combining the above calculations we find that for $f \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{I_q^{\alpha} f(x)}{x^{\alpha}}\right)^p d_q x &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-qt)_q^{\alpha-1} f(t)\, d_q t\right)^p d_q x \\
&= (1-q) \left(\frac{1-q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}\right)^p (J_{\alpha})^p \\
&\leq (1-q) \left(\frac{1-q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}\right)^p \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1,\ g\geq 0} J_{\alpha,p'}(g)^p\, J_{\alpha,p}(f)^p \\
&\leq (1-q) \left(\frac{1-q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}\right)^p \left[\frac{\Gamma_q(1-1/p)\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-1/p)} \frac{1}{1-q}\right]^{p-1} \\
&\times \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \frac{\Gamma_q(1-1/p)\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-1/p)} \int_0^{\infty} f^p(t)\, d_q t \\
&= \left[\frac{\Gamma_q(1-\frac{1}{p})}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-\frac{1}{p})}\right]^p \int_0^{\infty} f^p(t)\, d_q t,
\end{aligned}$$

which means that the inequality (21) holds with the estimate $C \leq \left[\frac{\Gamma_q(1-\frac{1}{p})}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-\frac{1}{p})}\right]^p$ for the best constant C.

Now, we give also a lower estimate for the best constant C in (21). Let $f_\beta(t) = t^\beta \chi_{(0,1]}(t)$ with $\beta > -\frac{1}{p}$. Then $\int_0^\infty f_\beta^p(t)\, d_qt = \frac{1-q}{1-q^{1+p\beta}}$ (cf. proof of Theorem 2.1 in the case $1 < p < \infty$) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{I_q^\alpha f_\beta(x)}{x^\alpha}\right)^p d_qx &= \frac{(1-q)^{p+1}}{\Gamma_q^p(\alpha)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j(1-p)} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} f_\beta(q^i)\, q^i\right)^p \\
&\geq \frac{(1-q)^{p+1}}{\Gamma_q^p(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1-p)} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} f_\beta(q^i)\, q^i\right)^p \\
&= \frac{(1-q)^{p+1}}{\Gamma_q^p(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1-p)} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} q^{i(1+\beta)}\right)^p \\
&= \frac{(1-q)^{p+1}}{\Gamma_q^p(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(1+p\beta)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{i+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} q^{i(1+\beta)}\right)^p \\
&= \frac{1-q}{\Gamma_q^p(\alpha)\,(1-q^{1+p\beta})} B_q^p(\beta+1,\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

If inequality (21) holds with the best constant $C > 0$, then

$$C \geq \sup_{\beta > -\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{B_q(\beta+1,\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}\right)^p = \left(\frac{B_q(1-\frac{1}{p},\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}\right)^p = \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(1-\frac{1}{p})}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-\frac{1}{p})}\right)^p,$$

which shows that constant (22) is sharp. The proof is complete. □

From Theorem 3.1 we obtain immediately the validity of the following statement:

Corollary 3.2. *Let $p > 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then the following inequality is valid*

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{I_q^\alpha f(x)}{x^\alpha}\right)^p d_qx < \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(1-\frac{1}{p})}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-\frac{1}{p})}\right)^p \int_0^1 f^p(t)\, d_qt.$$

Moreover, the constant $\left(\frac{\Gamma_q(1-\frac{1}{p})}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-\frac{1}{p})}\right)^p$ is best possible.

The strict inequality we are getting as before in the estimate of $J_{\alpha,p}(f)$. In fact, for finite interval of integration the sum inside of the expression J_α is going from 0 to ∞,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\alpha,p}(f) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f^p(q^i) q^i \sum_{j=0}^{i} q^{\frac{i-j}{p'}} \left(q^{i-j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} < \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f^p(q^i) q^i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{j}{p'}} \left(q^{j+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \frac{\Gamma_q(1-\frac{1}{p})\,\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1-\frac{1}{p})} \int_0^\infty f^p(t)\, d_qt.
\end{aligned}$$

4 Remarks on classical discrete Hardy inequalities

The Hardy discrete inequality (5) follows from the Hardy integral inequality (4) by putting in (4) a simple nonincreasing function (cf. [16, p. 248], [20, p. 726] and [21, p. 155-156]).

Up to now there is no sharp discrete analogue of the Hardy integral inequality (2) except $\alpha = 0$ and this fact was motivation for many authors to establish the following discrete inequalities

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[k^{1-\alpha}-(k-1)^{1-\alpha}\right]a_k\right)^p \le \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)p}{p-\alpha p-1}\right)^p\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n^p,\ a_n\ge 0, \tag{24}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}k^{-\alpha}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}k^{-\alpha}\,a_k\right)^p \le \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)p}{p-\alpha p-1}\right)^p\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n^p,\ a_n\ge 0. \tag{25}$$

For fixed $p>1$, thanks to a result of Cass and Kratz [7, Theorem 2], we know that the inequalities (24) and (25) can only hold for $\alpha < 1-1/p$ and if they hold for some $\alpha<1-1/p$, then the constant $[(1-\alpha)p/(p-\alpha p-1)]^p = [p/(p-\frac{1}{1-\alpha})]^p$ is best possible since for $\alpha<1$ we have $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}[k^{1-\alpha}-(k-1)^{1-\alpha}]}{n[n^{1-\alpha}-(n-1)^{1-\alpha}]}=\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}k^{-\alpha}}{n^{1-\alpha}}=\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ (see also [14, pages 374-375]).

Both inequalities were stated by Bennett in [3, pages 40-41] whenever $p>1,\alpha<1-1/p$ and $\alpha\le 0$. No proofs were given in [3]. The proof of (24) for $p>1,\alpha<1-1/p$ and $\alpha<0$ (for $\alpha=0$ this is just classical discrete Hardy inequality (5)) was given by Bennett [4, pp. 401-402, 407] and the proof for $p>1,\alpha<1-1/p$ by Bennett [5, Theorem 1, pages 31-32], [6, Theorem 1, pages 804 and 828-828] and Gao [11, Corollary 3.1].

Inequality (25) was proved independently by Gao [11, Corollary 3.2] and Bennett [6, Theorem 7] for $p>1,\alpha<1-1/p$ and if either $\alpha\le -1$ or $0\le\alpha<1$. Moreover, Gao [12, Theorem 1.1] has shown that inequality holds for $p\ge 2$ and $-1/p\le\alpha\le 0$ or $1<p\le 4/3$ and $-1\le\alpha\le -1/p$. In [13, Theorem 6.1] he extended the proof to $p\ge 2$ and $-1\le\alpha\le 0$. This means that they are still some regions with no proof of (25).

Now, let us comment which discrete Hardy inequalities we are getting from the Hardy q-inequalities. Directly from the proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 we obtain the following discrete inequalities of independent interest: for $0<q<1$ and $\alpha<1-\frac{1}{p}$ we have

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(q^{j\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{p}-1\right)}\sum_{i=j}^{\infty}q^{i\left(1-\frac{1}{p}-\alpha\right)}a_i\right)^p \le \frac{1}{(1-q^{1-\frac{1}{p}-\alpha})^p}\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}a_i^p,\ a_i\ge 0,$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(q^{j\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{p}-1\right)}\sum_{i=j}^{\infty}q^{i\left(1-\frac{1}{p}-\alpha\right)}a_i\right)^p \le \frac{1}{(1-q^{1-\frac{1}{p}-\alpha})^p}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}a_i^p\ a_i\ge 0.$$

if either $p>1$ or $p<0$ and $a_i>0\,(i\in\mathbb{Z}$ or $i\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, respectively) with the best constant $(1-q^{1-\frac{1}{p}-\alpha})^{-p}$.

The above two inequalities we can rewrite by putting $\lambda = 1-\frac{1}{p}-\alpha > 0$ to the following new sharp discrete inequalities: if $0 < q < 1$ and either $p > 1$ or $p < 0$ and $a_n > 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, respectively), then with the best constant

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{q^{\lambda n}} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} q^{\lambda k}\, a_k \right)^p \le \frac{1}{(1-q^{\lambda})^p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^p,\ a_n \ge 0, \tag{26}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{q^{\lambda n}} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} q^{\lambda k}\, a_k \right)^p \le \frac{1}{(1-q^{\lambda})^p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p,\ a_n \ge 0. \tag{27}$$

For $0 < p < 1$ the inequality (26) holds in the reverse direction. If $p > 1$, then in view of (26) and (27) by passing to the dual inequalities with substitution of p by p' we obtain

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(q^{\lambda n} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n} q^{-\lambda k}\, a_k \right)^p \le \frac{1}{(1-q^{\lambda})^p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^p,\ a_n \ge 0, \tag{28}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{\lambda n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{-\lambda k}\, a_k \right)^p \le \frac{1}{(1-q^{\lambda})^p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p,\ a_n \ge 0. \tag{29}$$

In recent years the following weighted Hardy and weighted Copson inequalities are frequently investigated (see, e.g. [6], [11], [13] and the references given there)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k a_k}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k} \Big)^p \le A \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k}{\sum\limits_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k} \Big)^p \le B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p, \tag{30}$$

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{n} \lambda_k\, a_k}{\sum\limits_{k=-\infty}^{n} \lambda_k} \Big)^p \le C \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^p, \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k}{\sum\limits_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k} \Big)^p \le D \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^p, \tag{31}$$

where $\lambda_n > 0$, $a_n \ge 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ or $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, respectively. However, in general, the best constants in the above inequalities have not been found yet.

If $\lambda_k = k^{-\alpha}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_0 = a_0 = 0$, then the first inequality in (30) became (25) and it holds with the best constant $A = \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)p}{p-\alpha p-1} \right)^p$ for parameters, which have been mentioned at the beginning of this part.

Since $\sum\limits_{k=n}^{\infty} q^{\lambda k} = \frac{q^{\lambda n}}{1-q^{\lambda}}$, the estimates (27) and (26) imply that the second inequalities in (30) and (31) (the Copson inequalities) with $\lambda_k = q^{\lambda k}\, (0 < q < 1, \lambda > 0, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ or $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, respectively) for $p > 1$ or $p < 0$ hold with the best constants $B = 1$ and $D = 1$, respectively.

Also since $\sum\limits_{k=-\infty}^{n} q^{-\lambda k} = \frac{q^{-\lambda n}}{1-q^{\lambda}}$, the estimate (28) implies the first inequality in (31) (the Hardy inequality) with $\lambda_k = q^{-\lambda k}\, (0 < q < 1, \lambda > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z})$ for $p > 1$ with the best constants $C = 1$. In the case $0 < p < 1$ the second inequality in (31) holds in the reverse direction.

Inequality (29) and the obvious estimate $\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{-\lambda k} \geq q^{-\lambda n}$ imply that the first inequality in (30) holds with $\lambda_k = q^{-\lambda k}\,(0 < q < 1, \lambda > 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ for $p > 1$ with the estimate $A \leq (1 - q^{\lambda})^{-p}$ for the best constant.

From the proof of Theorem 2.4 we obtain that if $\lambda > 0, 0 < q < 1, a_n \geq 0\,(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ and $0 < p < 1$, then the following discrete inequalities hold with the best constants

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{-\lambda n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} q^{\lambda k} a_k \right)^p > \frac{1}{(1 - q^{\lambda})^p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{\lambda n})\, a_n^p,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{-\lambda n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} q^{\lambda k} a_k \right)^p + \frac{1}{1 - q^{\lambda}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\lambda n} a_n \right)^p > \frac{1}{(1 - q^{\lambda})^p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p.$$

The proof of the q-inequality for the Riemann-Liouville operator gives estimates for matrix operators. In fact, from the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following inequalities: if $0 < q < 1, \alpha > 0$ and $p > 1$, then

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(q^{-\frac{n}{p'}} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(q^{k-n+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} q^{\frac{k}{p'}}\, a_k \right)^p \leq E \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^p,\ a_n \geq 0, \tag{32}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{-\frac{n}{p'}} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(q^{k-n+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} q^{\frac{k}{p'}}\, a_k \right)^p \leq E \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p,\ a_n \geq 0, \tag{33}$$

with the best constant $E = \left(\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{n}{p'}} \left(q^{n+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} \right)^p$.

Since $\sum\limits_{k=n}^{\infty} q^{\frac{k}{p'}} \left(q^{k-n+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} = q^{\frac{n}{p'}} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{k}{p'}} \left(q^{k+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1}$, then denoting

$$\overline{Q}_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} q^{\frac{k}{p'}} \left(q^{k-n+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1}$$

we can rewrite inequalities (32) and (33) in the following forms:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\overline{Q}_n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} q^{\frac{k}{p'}} \left(q^{k-n+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} a_k \right)^p \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^p,\ a_n \geq 0. \tag{34}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\overline{Q}_n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} q^{\frac{k}{p'}} \left(q^{k-n+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} a_k \right)^p \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p,\ a_n \geq 0. \tag{35}$$

Moreover, by passing to the dual inequality in (32) and substituting p by p' we obtain

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(q^{\frac{n}{p}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n} \left(q^{n-k+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} q^{-\frac{k}{p}}\, a_k \right)^p \leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{n}{p}} \left(q^{n+1}; q\right)_{\alpha-1} \right)^p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p,\ a_n \geq 0. \tag{36}$$

Since $\sum\limits_{k=-\infty}^{n}\left(q^{n-k+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1}q^{-\frac{k}{p}}=q^{\frac{n}{p}}\sum\limits_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(q^{k+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1}=:Q_n$, the inequality (36) can be written in the form

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{Q_n}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{n}\left(q^{n-k+1};q\right)_{\alpha-1}q^{-\frac{k}{p}}a_k\right)^p\leq\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n^p,\ a_n\geq 0. \tag{37}$$

Inequalities (34),(35) and (37) are examples of sharp matrix inequalities of the forms

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\lambda_{n,k}\,a_k}{\sum\limits_{k=n}^{\infty}\lambda_{n,k}}\Big)^p\leq\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}a_n^p,\quad\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\lambda_{n,k}\,a_k}{\sum\limits_{k=n}^{\infty}\lambda_{n,k}}\Big)^p\leq\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n^p,$$

$$\text{and}\quad\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{n}\lambda_{n,k}\,a_k}{\sum\limits_{k=-\infty}^{n}\lambda_{n,k}}\Big)^p\leq\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}a_n^p,\ a_n\geq 0,$$

where $p>1$ and $\lambda_{n,k}>0$ are of special form. They are generalizations of three inequalities in (30) and (31).

Acknowledgements. The second author was supported by the Scientific Committee of Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan, grant No.1529/GF, on priority area "Intellectual potential of the country". We thank both referees for some valuable suggestions, which have improved the final version of this paper.

References

[1] W. A. Al-Salam, *Some fractional q-integrals and q-derivatives*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 15 (1966), 135–140. Zbl 0171.10301, MR0218848

[2] G. Bangerezako, *Variational calculus on q-nonuniform lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 306 (2005), no. 1, 161–179. Zbl 1095.49005, MR2132895

[3] G. Bennett, *Factorizing the Classical Inequalities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1996), 1–130. Zbl 0857.26009, MR1317938

[4] G. Bennett, *Inequalities complimentary to Hardy*, Quart. J. Math. Oxf. Ser. (2) 49 (1998), 395–432. Zbl 0929.26013, MR1652236

[5] G. Bennett, *Series of positive terms*, Banach Center Publ. 64 (2004), 29–38. Zbl 1058.26011, MR2099457

[6] G. Bennett, *Sums of powers and meaning of l^p*, Houston J. Math. 32 (2006), no. 3, 801–831. Zbl 1122.26017, MR2247911

[7] F. P. Cass and W. Kratz, *Nörlund and weighted mean matrices as operators on l_p*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 20 (1990), no. 1, 59–74. Zbl 0712.47023, MR1057975

[8] T. Ernst, *The history of q-calculus and a new method*, Uppsala 2000, 231 pages at `citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.63.274.pdf`

[9] T. Ernst, *A Comprehensive Treatment of q-Calculus*, Birkhäuser, Basel 2012. Zbl 1256.33001, MR2976799

[10] H. Exton, *q-Hypergeometric Functions and Applications*, Halstead Press, New York 1983. Zbl 0514.33001, MR0708496

[11] P. Gao, *A note on Hardy–type inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), no. 7, 1977–1984. Zbl 1068.26015, MR2137863

[12] P. Gao, *Hardy-type inequalities via auxiliary sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343 (2008), no. 1, 48–57. Zbl 1138.26309, MR2409456

[13] P. Gao, *On l^p norms of weighted mean matrices*, Math. Z. 264 (2010), no. 4, 829–848. Zbl 1190.47012, MR2593296

[14] P. Gao, *On weighted mean matrices whose l^p norms are determined on decreasing sequences*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 14 (2011), no. 2, 373–387. Zbl 1237.47008, MR2816127

[15] H. Gauchman, *Integral inequalities in q-calculus*, Comput. Math. Appl. 47 (2004), no. 2-3, 281–300. Zbl 1041.05006, MR2047944

[16] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities, 2nd ed.*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1952. Zbl 0047.05302, MR0046395

[17] F. H. Jackson, *On q-definite integrals*, Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math. 41 (1910), 193–203. JFM 41.0317.04

[18] V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer-Verlag New York 2002. Zbl 0986.05001, MR1865777

[19] V. Krasniqi, *Erratum, Several q-integral inequalities*, J. Math. Inequal. 5 (2011), no. 3, 451. Zbl 1225.26046, MR2865561

[20] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda and L.-E. Persson, *The prehistory of the Hardy inequality*, Amer. Math. Monthly 113 (2006), no. 8, 715–732. Zbl 1153.01015, MR2256532

[21] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda and L.-E. Persson, *The Hardy Inequality. About its History and Some Related Results*, Vydavatelsky Servis Publishing House, Pilzen 2007. Zbl 1213.42001, MR2351524

[22] A. Kufner and L.-E. Persson, *Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type*, World Scientific, New Jersey 2003. Zbl 1065.26018, MR1982932

[23] L. Maligranda, *Why Hölder's inequality should be called Rogers' inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 1 (1998), 69–83. Zbl 0889.26001, MR1492911

[24] Y. Miao and F. Qi, *Several q-integral inequalities*, J. Math. Inequal. 3 (2009), no. 1, 115–121 (cf. [19]). Zbl 1181.26009, MR2502546

[25] L.-E. Persson and N. Samko, *What should have happened if Hardy had discovered this?*, J. Inequal. Appl. 29 (2012), 11 pp. MR2925639

[26] M. S. Stanković, P. M. Rajković and S. D. Marinković, *On q–fractional derivatives of Riemann–Liouville and Caputo type*, `arXiv:0909.0387[math.CA] 2 Sep 2009.`

[27] W. T. Sulaiman, *New types of q–integral inequalities*, Advances in Pure Math. 1 (2011), 77–80.

Lech Maligranda and Lars-Erik Persson, Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics
Luleå University of Technology, SE-971 87 Luleå, Sweden
E-mail addresses: `lech.maligranda@ltu.se`, `lars-erik.persson@ltu.se`

Ryskul Oinarov, L. N. Gumilyev Eurasian National University, Munaytpasov st. 5
010008 Astana, Kazakhstan
E-mail address: `o_ryskul@mail.ru`